

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Mickyas Berhanemeskel,

Plaintiff

v.

S. Lopez. et al.,

Defendants


Case No. 2:24-cv-01371-JAD-DJA

**Amended Order Granting Motion to
Supplement Summary-Judgment
Briefing**

ECF No. 96

Plaintiff Mickyas Berhanemeskel requests permission to amend his pending motion for summary judgment “in light of [his] finally being able to view the bodycam footage sent by” defense counsel, and which is central to the summary-judgment arguments. Because that motion is already fully briefed, I liberally construe his request as one to supplement his briefing—not amend it—and I grant that request.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the request for supplemental briefing [ECF No. 96] is **GRANTED**. Berhanemeskel may file a “**Supplemental Brief in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment**” of five pages or less by **August 15, 2025**, that addresses only the bodycam footage and how it impacts summary judgment. Defendants may file a response to that supplement of five pages or less no later than seven days after Berhanemeskel’s filing. NO FURTHER BRIEFING on the summary-judgment issues will be permitted.



U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey
July 30, 2025